

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,963	11/26/2003	Judy Lynn Westby	S104.12-0061	9586
27367 7550 95/28/2010 WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A. SUITE 1400			EXAMINER	
			BAKER, STEPHEN M	
900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2112	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/28/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/723 963 WESTBY ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Stephen M. Baker 2112 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 February 2010. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-21 and 23-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 and 23-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)

1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

1) Horizon's Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

1) Horizon's Draftsperson's Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

1) Horizon's Draftsperson's Draftsper

Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,963 Page 2

Art Unit: 2112

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 18-21, 23-25 and 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In the specification there is no suggestion of receiving and then transmitting the same packet.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 18-21, 23-25 and 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 18, 24, 29 and 31 incorrectly specify receiving and then transmitting the same packet.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/723,963

Art Unit: 2112

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 35(1a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,185,207 to LaBerge et al (hereinafter "LaBerge").

LaBerge discloses a LAN adapter (100) including arrangements (116) for deserializing and for checking packet CRCs, and arrangements (e.g. 110, 114) for optionally forwarding to host main memory (124) the CRC of a received packet (column 6 lines 30-33). In a (not shown) software-controlled-CPU-embodiment of LaBerge's LAN adapter (column 9 lines 28+) a LAN adapter memory (presumably RAM) stores packet CRCs and, optionally, under control of a receive CRC configuration bit, these CRCs are not forwarded to the host's main memory when the packet data is forwarded. Accordingly, LaBerge teaches "receiving a packet at an interface coupled to a serial communications path, the packet including a data protection code," then "storing the packet, including the data protection code, into a buffer" then "removing the data protection code from the packet" and "storing the packet without the data protection

Art Unit: 2112

code to a memory location other than the buffer," where the "buffer" is adaptor memory and the "memory location other than the buffer" is in host main memory.

Regarding claim 27, LaBerge's packet CRC check provides a packet for accuracy check by "verifying the data protection code," necessarily "after receiving the packet from the serial communications path."

### Reissue Declaration

The supplemental declaration filed 21 October 2009 is defective because the present claims do not specifically correspond to any "errors" specifically identified.

The supplemental declaration filed 21 October 2009 is defective because it fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414.

 Claims 1-21 and 23-32 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect in the declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

The original claims should be amended or cancelled as being defective for claiming more than the patentee had a right to claim. See MPEP 1402.

### Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 19 February 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Application/Control Number: 10/723,963

Art Unit: 2112

Applicant, for reasons remaining unclear, apparently believes that the specification specifically describes the process of receiving and then transmitting the same packet, even though the specification (most notably those portions cited in applicant's response) actually does not describe anything of the sort. By such argument, applicant is apparently furthermore implying that an essential intermediate step of storing the packet data in a disk drive has been purposely omitted from the relevant claims. Applicant further does not explain why the transmitted packet is the received packet when presumably packet overhead is different responsive to having a different destination, etc.

#### Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,963

Art Unit: 2112

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Baker whose telephone number is (571) 272-3814. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (11:00 AM - 7:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Scott Baderman can be reached on (571) 272-3644. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Stephen M. Baker/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2112

smb